

Potential formulation ( $U, \vec{A}$ ):

1/3

In Electrostatics  $\nabla \times \vec{E} = 0$  allowed us to write  $\vec{E}$  as a gradient of a scalar potential

$$\text{i.e. } \vec{E} = -\nabla U$$

In electrodynamics this is no longer possible, because the  $\nabla \times \vec{E}$  is non-zero.

But  $\vec{B}$  remains divergenceless. So

We can still write

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad (1)$$

as in magnetostatics putting it in to the Faraday's Law field we get

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (\nabla \times \vec{A})}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} + \nabla \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \left( \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

There is a quantity unlike  $\vec{E}$  alone, whose curl does not vanish it can

Contd.



be written as the gradient  
of a scalar potential

2/3

$$\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla U$$

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla U - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

This reduces the above equation (2) to old form of course in electrostatics

as we put  $\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = 0$

The potential formulation of equation (1) & (2) automatically fulfill the two homogeneous Maxwell's equations

ie  $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  &  $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$

But what about the Gauss's law in electrostatics & Ampere's Law with Maxwell's equation.

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad \& \quad \nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$$

for free space.

Putting equation (2), the  $\vec{E}$  value in Gauss's law for electrostatics

Concld:



We have

$$\nabla \cdot \left( -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

3/3

or

$$\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Equation (3) replaces Poisson's Equation.

Let us put the relevant terms from (1) & (2) in Maxwell's 4th equation

ie  $\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$

Putting  $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$  &  $\vec{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$  we get

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{A} = \mu_0 \vec{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

Using Vector Identity

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{A} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

We have

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) = -\mu_0 \vec{J} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Equations (3) & (5) carry all the information in Maxwell's equations in electrodynamics & hence termed as potential formulation of  $\phi$  &  $\vec{A}$ .